



## Comment

**Consultee** Mr Michael Abrahams (777853)  
**Email Address** [REDACTED]  
**Address** [REDACTED]  
**Event Name** Development Management Local Plan Proposed Submission  
**Comment by** Mr Michael Abrahams  
**Comment ID** 1  
**Response Date** 19/08/13 08:21  
**Consultation Point** 2.130 Paragraph ( [View](#) )  
**Status** Submitted  
**Submission Type** Web  
**Version** 0.1

### 1. Legal Compliance

**Do you consider the Development Management Proposed Submission Document is legally compliant?** No

### 2. Soundness

**Do you consider the Site Allocations Document is sound?** No

### 3. Reasons for Unsoundness

**If you answered No to Q. 2 please also answer this question. Otherwise proceed straight to Q.4. Please select below the reasons(s) why you consider the Development Management Local Plan is unsound.**

The document is not justified

### 4. Details of legal non-compliance or unsoundness

**Please give details below as to why you consider the document is not legally compliant or is unsound. If you wish to support the document please give reasons.**

Honor Oak Park should be considered a Neighbourhood Local Centre in the same context as Brockley Cross, Crofton Park, Downham Way, Grove Park and Lewisham Way. Honor Oak Park is comprised of two roads which together make up more than local shopping parades. The increased frequency of

rail services through Honor Oak Park since 2010 has increased footfall in the town centre and this has led to the opening of new businesses including a deli and a Sainsbury's supermarket. Honor Oak Park should now be managed by the council in the same way that other Neighbourhood Local Centres are managed.

**5. Changes required to achieve legal compliance/soundness**

**Please set out your changes you consider necessary to achieve legal compliance or soundness and give your reasons and any suggested re-wording.**

Amend text to "Brockley Cross, Crofton Park, Downham Way, Grove Park, Honor Oak Park and Lewisham Way."